

Remarks

Claims 1 - 35 are pending in the application. In the office action dated Dec. 1, 2006, claims 1 - 19 and 23 - 25 were rejected as unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. Section 103 over Hattersley, U.S. Patent Publication 2002/0000472. Claim 22 was indicated as allowable over the prior art. Claims 20 - 21 were objected to, but indicated as allowable if rewritten in independent form. The Applicants thank the Examiner for the indication of allowable subject matter, and respectfully request reconsideration of the remaining claims in view of the amendments submitted herewith.

Telephone Interview

The Applicants thank the Examiner for the telephone interview of January 30, 2007. During the interview the distinctions between the invention recited in the rejected claims and the cited Hattersley reference were discussed. This discussion was centered principally on the ringed light source, which is not found in the cited reference.

The amendments submitted herewith are in accordance with the amendments discussed during the interview, which the Examiner indicated would differentiate over the cited references.

Conclusion

In view of the amendments, claims 1 - 35 are considered to be in condition for allowance, and a notice of allowance for these claims is respectfully requested.

No fees are believed due in accordance with this response. However, the Commissioner is authorized to charge any fees under 37 CFR § 1.17 that may be due on this application to Deposit Account 17-0055. The Commissioner is also authorized to treat this amendment and any future reply in this matter requiring a petition for an extension of time as

incorporating a petition for extension of time for the appropriate length of time as provided
by 37 CFR § 136(a)(3).

Respectfully submitted,

Carl W. Gerst, III, *et al.*

Dated February 1, 2007

By: 

Terri S. Flynn
Quarles & Brady LLP
Reg. No. 41,756
Attorney for Applicant
411 East Wisconsin Avenue
Milwaukee, WI 53202-4497
(414) 277-5229